

BACKGROUND

- Ankylosing spondylitis (AS) is a chronic inflammatory rheumatic disease that affects mainly the sacroiliac joints and the spine¹.
- The disease can progress to involve peripheral joints and extra-articular structures including eyes, intestine, lungs, heart, skin, bone, and kidneys.^{1, 2}
- AS starts before their 40s in most patients causing chronic pain, stiffness and fatigue¹, resulting in reduced physical function and quality of life.^{3, 4}
- The first line of treatment for AS is nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs); however, potential intolerance and safety issues are concerning.⁵
- The second line of treatment for AS is novel biologics.
- Seven biologics, including five tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors (*infliximab*, *etanercept*, *adalimumab*, *certolizumab pegol,* and *golimumab*) and two Interleukin-17A (IL-17A) antibody agents (*secukinumab* and *ixekizumab*), have been approved for the treatment of AS in the United States.⁶
- They are well-tolerated and effective,^{7, 8} but their impact on patients' quality of life is not collectively wellreported.

OBJECTIVE

The current meta-analysis examines the impact on healthrelated quality of life (HRQoL) outcomes of novel biologic therapy with TNF inhibitors or IL-17A antibody agents in patients with AS.

METHODOLOGY

- A literature search on PubMed, Embase, and Clinicaltrials.gov databases was performed through September 2021 to identify qualified randomized, controlled trials (RCTs).
- The inclusion criteria were the following:
 - 1. A placebo-controlled RCT;
 - 2. Patients were diagnosed with AS according to the 1984 modified New York diagnostic criteria;⁹
 - 3. Intervention was a TNF inhibitor or an IL-17A antibody agent;
 - 4. HRQoL outcome was reported.
- Data extraction was performed independently by three authors. Cochrane Collaboration's tool was used to assess the quality of included RCTs.¹⁰ Data analysis done using Review Manager version 5.4.¹¹
- *I*² value of 25%, 50%, and 75% were set as low, moderate, and high heterogeneity, respectively. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
- This systematic review and meta-analysis followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) statement.¹² Data and information were from published clinical trials; thus, Ethics Committee and Institutional Review Board (IRB) were not required.

Impact of Biologics on Health-Related Quality of Life Outcomes (HRQoL) Jniversity in Patients with Ankylosing Spondylitis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Anh Ho, PharmD¹; Ibrahim Younis, PharmD¹; Quang A. Le, PharmD, PhD¹ ¹Western University of Health Sciences, College of Pharmacy, Pomona, CA

RESULTS

Figure 1: Flow diagram for study inclusion



Table 1: Study characteristics¹³⁻²⁷

| eference | gics | | o ition iek | ae | n age (SD) | HRQoL outcome reported | | | sk of bias | |
|--|--------------|-----|-------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-------|------------|---------------|
| Study/ R | Biolo Siz | S S | Dura We | Å | Mear Year | SF-36 PCS | SF-36 MCS | EQ-5D | ASQoL | Overall ri |
| MEASURE 1 (Beaten 2015 & Deodhar 2016) | SEC | 371 | 16 | 69.3 | 41.8 (12.4) | • | • | • | • | • |
| MEASURE 2 (Beaten 2015 & Marzo-Ortega 2017) | SEC | 219 | 16 | 70.0 | 43.3 (12.9) | • | | | • | • |
| MEASURE 4 (<u>Kivitz</u> 2018) | SEC | 350 | 16 | 77.6 | 43.0 (11.8) | • | | | • | • |
| COAST – W (Deodhar 2019) | IXE | 316 | 16 | 80.0 | 46.1 (12.4) | • | • | | | • |
| COAST – V (van der Heijde 2018) | IXE; ADA | 341 | 16 | 81.3 | 41.7 (11.6) | • | • | | | • |
| GO-ALIVE (Deodhar 2018 & Reveille 2020) | gol IV | 208 | 16 | 78.4 | 3 8.8 (10.4) | • | • | • | • | 0 |
| Bao 2014 | GOL | 213 | 20 | 83.1 | 3 0.5 (9.46) | • | • | | | • |
| GO-RAISE (Inman 2008) | GOL | 278 | 24 | 71.5 | 37.2 (16.5) | • | • | | | • |
| Huang 2014 | ADA | 344 | 12 | 81.7 | 29.9 (8.3) | • | • | | | • |
| ATLAS (van der Heijde 2006 & Davis 2007) | ADA | 315 | 24 | 74.7 | 42.3 (11.6) | • | • | | • | 0 |
| RAPID-axSpA (Landewe 2014) | CZP | 178 | 12 | 72.5 | 41.5 (11.6) | • | • | | | • |
| ASSERT (van der Heijde 2005) | IFX | 279 | 24 | 82.7 | 39.9 (10.8) | • | • | | | () |

• SF-36 PCS = 36-Item Short Form Survey Physical Score Component; SF-36 MCS = 36-Item Short Form Survey Mental Score Component; EQ-5D = European Quality of Life – 5 Dimensions; ASQoL = Ankylosing Spondylitis Quality of Life.
SEC = secukinumab; IXE = ixekizumab; ADA = adalimumab; GOL = golimumab; CZP =

certolizumab; IFX = infliximab.

• Green circle indicates low risk of bias; yellow circle indicates unclear risk of bias.

Figure 2: Risk of bias graph



| 11201C J.1. WIC | Bi | iologi |
|--|----------------------------|------------|
| Study or Subgroup | Mean | |
| 3.1.1 IL-17A antibody | agents vs. | plac |
| Baeten 2015 | 5.4106 | 6.1 |
| Deodhar 2016 | 5.6 | 6.6 |
| van der Heijde 2018 | 7.8366 | 6.9 |
| Kivitz 2018 | 6.4624 | 7.5 |
| Deodhar 2019 | 6.3674 | 8.3 |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1 | 0.71; Chi ² ÷ | = 7.6 |
| Test for overall effect: 2 | Z = 7.02 (P | < 0.0 |
| 3 1 2 TNF inhibitors vs | nlacebo | at do |
| van dar Haiida 2005 | 10 / 106 | a g |
| van der Heijde 2005 van der Heijde 2006 | 10.4100 7 4 | 3.0 8.1 |
| Inman 2008 | 8 6659 | 111 |
| Landewé 2014 | 2 3 2 7 4 | 9.6 |
| Bao 2014 | 6.25 | |
| Huang 2014 | 6.6 | |
| Deodhar 2018 | 8.5 | |
| van der Heijde 2018 | 6.9 | 6.9 |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ^z = s | 5.70; Chi <mark>ř</mark> : | = 48.1 |
| Test for overall effect: 2 | Z = 4.97 (P | < 0.0 |
| | | |
| Total (95% CI) | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 3 | 3.34; Chi²÷ | = 57.5 |
| Test for overall effect: 2 | 2 = 7.46 (P | < 0.0 |
| lest for subgroup diffe | rences: Cl | nif = 1 |
| | 1.00 | • |
| Figure 3.2: Me | an aiff | ere |
| | Bio | logic |
| Study or Subgroup | Mean | |
| S.Z.T IL-T/A antibody a | igents vs. | place |
| Deodhar 2016 | 3.3502 | 8.90 |
| van der Heijde 2018 | Z.6589 | 7.94 |

| Subtotal (95% CI) | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = | 0.31; Chi ^z | = 2.50 |
| Test for overall effect: | Z = 1.97 (F | ° = 0.0 |
| | | |
| 3.2.2 TNF inhibitors v | s. placebo | at do |
| van der Heijde 2005 | 2.8755 | 8.73 |
| van der Heijde 2006 | 3.6 | 10.09 |
| Inman 2008 | 3.4186 | 9.73 |
| Bao 2014 | 3.86 | 8 |
| Huang 2014 | 5.1 | |
| Landewé 2014 | 8.207 | 7.62 |
| van der Heijde 2018 | 2.56 | 7.77 |
| Deodhar 2018 | 6.5 | |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = | 3.02; Chi ^z | = 21.9 |
| Test for overall effect: | Z = 3.58 (F | ° = 0.0 |
| | | |
| Total (95% CI) | | |
| _ i . | 0 4 0. OF 3 | |

Deodhar 2019

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 2.19; Chi² = 26.37, df = 10 (P = 0.003); I² = 62%Test for overall effect: Z = 4.00 (P < 0.0001) Test for subgroup differences: $Chi^2 = 1.57$, df = 1 (P = 0.21), $l^2 = 36.2\%$

Biologics

| Study or Subgroup | Mean | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--------|
| 3.3.1 Biologics vs. p | lacebo at | dose |
| Deodhar 2016 | 0.1425 | 0.21 |
| Marzo-Ortega 2017 | 0.1205 | 0.20 |
| Reveille 2020 | 0.17 | 0 |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² : | = 0.00; Ch | i² = 3 |
| Test for overall effect | 7 = 6.07 | (P < 1 |

- COLIUI UYCIAII CIICUL Z – U.U7

Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

| BI | ologi |
|--------------------------|---|
| Mean | |
| lacebo at d | ose-l |
| -3.6 | 10.0 |
| -3.6627 | 4.5 |
| -3.6 | 4.4 |
| -5.4 | |
| -4.1264 | 4.6 |
| | |
| = 0.36; Chi ^a | ²= 7.9 |
| t: Z = 6.36 (F | ∍ < 0. |
| | Bi Iacebo at d -3.6 -3.6627 -3.6 -5.4 -4.1264 = 0.36; Chi [≅] t: Z = 6.36 (f |

Total (95% CI)

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.36; Chi² = 7.92, df = 4 (P = 0.09); l² = 49% Test for overall effect: Z = 6.36 (P < 0.00001) Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable



Favours biologics Favours control

RESULTS

- Fifteen RCTs, involving 3,412 participants, were included: • Low risk of bias was observed in 9 studies, and unclear risk of bias was observed in 6 studies (Table 1); detection bias was the most observed type of bias (Figure 2).
- Three HRQoL outcomes measures were reported (Table 1):
- 36-Item Short Form Survey (SF-36), measuring general health status with summarized physical component score (PCS) and mental component score (MCS)²⁸, were reported in 12 studies.
- European Quality of Life 5 Dimensions (EQ-5D), measuring preference-based health utility,²⁹ were reported in 3 studies.
- Ankylosing Spondylitis Quality of Life (ASQoL), a diseasespecific instrument measuring quality of life in patients with AS,³⁰ were reported in 5 studies.
- The differences of the mean changes in HRQoL outcomes from baseline between the novel biologic therapy and placebo were as followed (Figure 3):
 - SF-36 PCS: 4.27 (95%-CI: 3.15 to 5.40, p < 0.001),
 - SF-36 MCS: 2.28 (95%-CI: 1.17 to 3.40, p < 0.001,
 - \circ EQ-5D: 0.11 (95%-CI: 0.07 to 0.14, p < 0.001);
- and ASQoL: -2.45 (95%-CI: -3.21 to -1.70, p < 0.001). • Heterogeneity was high ($I^2 = 79\%$) among studies reporting
- SF-36 PCS, and moderate (I² = 62%, 34%, and 49%) among studies reporting SF-36 MCS, EQ-5D, and ASQoL, respectively (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

- The high heterogeneity among studies reporting SF-36 PCS could be explained by the variations in study design, methodology, duration of treatment, treatment drug, and baseline characteristics of the sample population.
- Data on HRQoL outcomes reported from clinical trials were limited (the HRQoL outcome most reported was SF-36).
- The differences of mean changes from baseline between the novel biologic therapy and placebo on HRQoL SF-36 PCS, EQ-5D, and ASQoL outcomes, but SF-36 MCS, were clinically important improvement based on their established minimal clinical important difference (MCID) values.³¹
- This study accessed the short-term (12 24 weeks) impacts of biologics, limiting by the availability of data.
- Future clinical trials on AS should include HRQoL outcomes assessment in their study designs.

CONCLUSION

• The novel biologic therapy was associated with significant improvement in HRQoL measures with the SF-36, EQ-5D, and ASQoL compared to placebo.

REFERENCES

Sieper J, Braun J, Rudwaleit M, Boonen A, Zink A. Ankylosing spondylitis: An overview. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2002;61(SUPPL. 3):iii8-iii18 El Maghraoui A. Extra-articular manifestations of ankylosing spondylitis: prevalence, characteristics and therapeutic implications. Eur J Intern Med. 2011;22(6):554-6 Dzdemir O. Quality of life in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: relationships with spinal mobility, disease activity and functional status. Rheumatol Int. 2011;31(5):605 Boonen A, van der Linden SM. The burden of ankylosing spondylitis. J Rheumatol Suppl. 2006;78:4-1 oussirot E. Pharmacological management of axial spondyloarthritis in adults. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2019;20(12):1483 Ward MM, Deodhar A, Gensler LS, Dubreuil M, Yu D, Khan MA, et al. 2019 Update of the American College of I Spondylitis and Nonradiographic Axial Spondyloarthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2019;71(10):128 A, Ciurtin C, Ismajli M, Leandro M, Sengupta R, Machado PM. Biologics for treating axial spondyloarthritis. Expert Opin Biol Ther. 2018;18(6). Fański W, Świątoniowska-Lonc N, Dudek K, Jankowska-Polańska B. Benefit of Biological Drugs for Quality of Life in Patients with Ankylosing Spondylitis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Clinical Trials. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2020 van der Linden S, Valkenburg HA, Cats A. Evaluation of diagnostic criteria for ankylosing spondylitis. A proposal for modification of the New York criteria. Arthritis Rheum. 1984;27(4):361-8

Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2011;343:d5928. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021;372:n71 Reveille JD, Hwang MC, Danve A, Kafka S, Peterson S, Lo KH, et al. The effect of intravenous golimumab on health-related quality of life and work productivity in adult patients with active eodhar A, Poddubnyy D, Pacheco-Tena C, Salvarani C, Lespessailles E, Rahman P, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Ixekizumab in the Treatment of Radiographic Axial Spondyloarthritis: Sixteen-Week Results From a Phase III Randomized, Double-Blind, Plac rolled Trial in Patients With Prior Inadequate Response to or Intolerance of Tumor Necrosis Factor Inhibitors. Arthritis & Rheumatology. 2019;71(4):599-

van der Heijde D, Cheng-Chung Wei J, Dougados M, Mease P, Deodhar A, Maksymowych WP, et al. Ixekizumab, an interleukin-17A antagonist in the treatmen Kivitz AJ, Wagner U, Dokoupilova E, Supronik J, Martin R, Talloczy Z, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Secukinumab 150 mg with and Without Loading Regimen in Ankylosing Spondylitis: 104-week Results fro Deodhar A. Reveille ID. Harrison DD, Kim L, Lo KH, Leu IH, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Golimumab Administered Intravenously in Adults with Ankylosing Spondylitis: Results through Week 28 of the GO-ALIVE Study. J Rheumatol. 2018;45(3):341-8 Deodhar AA, Dougados M, Baeten DL, Cheng-Baeten D, Sieper J, Braun J, Baraliakos X, Dougados M, Emery P, et al. Secukinumab, an Interleukin-17A Inhibitor, in Ankylosing Spondylitis. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(26):253

ng F, Gu J, Zhu P, Bao C, Xu J, Xu H, et al. Efficacy and safety of adalimumab in Chinese adults with active ankylosing spondylitis: Results of a randomised, controlled trial. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2014;73(3):587-5 C, Huang F, Khan MA, Fei K, Wu Z, Han C, et al. Safety and efficacy of golimumab in Chinese patients with active ankylosing spondylitis: 1-year results of a multicentre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase nman RD, Davis JC, Jr., Heijde D, Diekman L, Sieper J, Kim SI, et al. Efficacy and safety of golimumab in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial. Arthritis Rheum. 2008;58(11):3402-1 van der Heijde D, Kivitz A, Schiff MH, Sieper J, Dijkmans BAC, Braun J, et al. Efficacy and safety of adalimumab in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: Results of a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Arthritis & Rheumat van der Heijde D, Dijkmans B, Geusens P, Sieper J, DeWoody K, V Ware JE, Jr. SF-36 Health Survey Update. Spine. 2000;25(24).

Rabin R, de Charro F. EQ-5D: a measure of health status from the EuroQol Group. Ann Med. 2001;33(5):337-43. Zochling J. Measures of symptoms and disease status in ankylosing spondylitis: Ankylosing Spondylitis Core (ASDAS), Ankylosing Spondylitis Quality of Life Scale (ASQoL), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Clobal Score (BAS-G), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index (BASMI), Dougados Functional Index (DFI), and Health Assessment Questionnaire for the Spondylarthropathies HAQ-S). Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2011;63 Suppl 11:S47-58 Jaeschke R, Singer J, Guyatt GH. Measurement of health status. Ascertaining the minimal clinically important difference. Control Clin Trials. 1989;10(4):407